

1     ~~Rule 2.2: Intermediary.~~

2     ~~a) A lawyer may act as intermediary between clients if:~~

3     ~~(1) The lawyer consults with each client concerning the implications of the common~~  
4 ~~representation, including the advantages and risks involved, and the effect of the~~  
5 ~~attorney-client privileges, and obtains each client's consent to the common~~  
6 ~~representation; and~~

7     ~~(2) The lawyer reasonably believes that the matter can be resolved on terms~~  
8 ~~compatible with each client's best interest, that each client will be able to make~~  
9 ~~adequately informed decisions in the matter and that there is little risk of material~~  
10 ~~prejudice to the interests of any of the clients if the contemplated resolution is~~  
11 ~~unsuccessful; and~~

12     ~~(3) The lawyer reasonably believes that the common representation can be~~  
13 ~~undertaken impartially and without improper effect on other responsibilities the lawyer~~  
14 ~~has to any of the clients; and~~

15     ~~(4) All requirements of Rules 1.7 and 1.8 are met.~~

16     ~~(b) While acting as intermediary, the lawyer shall consult with each client concerning~~  
17 ~~the decisions to be made and the considerations relevant in making them, so that each~~  
18 ~~client can make adequately informed decisions.~~

19     ~~(c) A lawyer shall withdraw as intermediary if any of the clients so requests, or if any~~  
20 ~~of the conditions stated in paragraph (a) is no longer satisfied. Upon withdrawal, the~~  
21 ~~lawyer shall not continue to represent any of the clients in the matter that was the~~  
22 ~~subject of the intermediation.~~

23     ~~Comment~~

24     ~~A lawyer acts as intermediary under this Rule when the lawyer represents two or~~  
25 ~~more parties with potentially conflicting interests. A key factor in defining the relationship~~  
26 ~~is whether the parties share responsibility for the lawyer's fee, but the common~~  
27 ~~representation may be inferred from other circumstances. Because confusion can arise~~  
28 ~~as to the lawyer's role where each party is not separately represented, it is important~~  
29 ~~that the lawyer make clear the relationship.~~

30     ~~The Rule does not apply to a lawyer acting as arbitrator or mediator between or~~  
31 ~~among parties who are not clients of the lawyer, even where the lawyer has been~~

32 appointed with the concurrence of the parties. In performing such a role the lawyer may  
33 be subject to applicable codes of ethics, such as the Code of Ethics for Arbitration in  
34 Commercial Disputes prepared by a joint Committee of the American Bar Association  
35 and the American Arbitration Association.

36 A lawyer acts as intermediary in seeking to establish or adjust a relationship  
37 between clients on an amicable and mutually advantageous basis; for example, in  
38 helping to organize a business in which two or more clients are entrepreneurs, working  
39 out the financial reorganization of an enterprise in which two or more clients have an  
40 interest, arranging a property distribution in settlement of an estate or mediating a  
41 dispute between clients. The lawyer seeks to resolve potentially conflicting interests by  
42 developing the parties' mutual interests. The alternative can be that each party may  
43 have to obtain separate representation, with the possibility in some situations of  
44 incurring additional cost, complication or even litigation. Given these and other relevant  
45 factors, all the clients may prefer that the lawyer act as intermediary.

46  
47 In considering whether to act as intermediary between clients, a lawyer should be  
48 mindful that if the intermediation fails the result can be additional cost, embarrassment  
49 and recrimination. In some situations the risk of failure is so great that intermediation is  
50 plainly impossible. For example, a lawyer cannot undertake common representation of  
51 clients between whom contentious litigation is imminent or who contemplate contentious  
52 negotiations. More generally, if the relationship between the parties has already  
53 assumed definite antagonism, the possibility that the clients' interests can be adjusted  
54 by intermediation ordinarily is not very good.

55 The appropriateness of intermediation can depend on its form. Forms of  
56 intermediation range from informal arbitration, where each client's case is presented by  
57 the respective client and the lawyer decides the outcome, to mediation, to common  
58 representation where the clients' interests are substantially though not entirely  
59 compatible. One form may be appropriate in circumstances where another would not.  
60 Other relevant factors are whether the lawyer subsequently will represent both parties  
61 on a continuing basis and whether the situation involves creating a relationship between  
62 the parties or terminating one.

Confidentiality and Privilege

A particularly important factor in determining the appropriateness of intermediation is the effect on client-lawyer confidentiality and the attorney-client privilege. In a common representation, the lawyer is still required both to keep each client adequately informed and to maintain confidentiality of information relating to the representation. See Rules 1.4 and 1.6. Complying with both requirements while acting as intermediary requires a delicate balance. If the balance cannot be maintained, the common representation is improper. With regard to the attorney-client privilege, the prevailing rule is that as between commonly represented clients the privilege does not attach. Hence, it must be assumed that if litigation eventuates between the clients, the privilege will not protect any such communications, and the clients should be so advised.

Since the lawyer is required to be impartial between commonly represented clients, intermediation is improper when that impartiality cannot be maintained. For example, a lawyer who has represented one of the clients for a long period and in a variety of matters might have difficulty being impartial between that client and one to whom the lawyer has only recently been introduced.

Consultation

In acting as intermediary between clients, the lawyer is required to consult with the clients on the implications of doing so, and proceed only upon consent based on such a consultation. The consultation should make clear that the lawyer's role is not that of partisanship normally expected in other circumstances.

Paragraph (b) is an application of the principle expressed in Rule 1.4. Where the lawyer is intermediary, the clients ordinarily must assume greater responsibility for decisions than when each client is independently represented.

Withdrawal

Common representation does not diminish the rights of each client in the client-lawyer relationship. Each has the right to loyal and diligent representation, the right to discharge the lawyer as stated in Rule 1.14, and the protection of Rule 1.9 concerning obligations to a former client.